

Docket No. AUS920010941US1

**METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TYPE INDEPENDENT PERMISSION
BASED ACCESS CONTROL**

RELATED APPLICATION

5

This application is related to co-pending and commonly assigned U.S. Patent Application Serial No.

_____ (Attorney Docket No. AUS920010942US1), entitled "Method and Apparatus for Implementing Permission Based Access Control Through Permission Type Inheritance," filed on even date herewith and hereby incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15

1. Technical Field:

The present invention is directed to an improved data processing system and, in particular, an improved mechanism for permission based access control. More specifically, the present invention provides a mechanism through which a security provider may specify their own permission type and a permission type that can handle any application permission types.

25 **2. Description of Related Art:**

In Java Development Kit (JDK) version 1.1, local applications and correctly digitally signed applets were generally trusted to have full access to vital system resources, such as the file system. Unsigned applets were not trusted and could access only limited resources. A security manager was responsible for determining which resource accesses were allowed.

In the Java 2 Software Developer's Kit (SDK), the security architecture is policy-based and allows for fine-grained access control. In Java 2 SDK, when code is loaded by the classloader, it is assigned to a protection domain that contains a collection of "permissions" based on the security policy currently in effect. Each permission specifies a permitted access to a particular resource, such as "read" and "write" access to a specified file or directory, or "connect" access to a given host and port. The policy, specifying which permissions are available for code from various signers and/or locations, can be initialized from an external configurable policy file. Unless a permission is explicitly granted to code, it cannot access the resource that is protected by that permission. These concepts of permission and policy enable the Java 2 SDK to offer fine-grain, highly configurable, flexible, and extensible access control. Such access control can be specified not only for applets, but also for all Java code including but not limited to applications, Java beans, and servlets.

Because the Java 2 SDK provides such a flexible and extensible access control, Application vendors end up specifying different security policies in terms of their own permission types, thereby introducing their own type of permissions into the security policy. In order to provide access control functionality to these "customized" permissions, security provider implementors that create these custom permissions must make sure they understand these customized permission types and need to make sure they support them. For example, if the application code checks for "com.foo.security.AppPerm1",

then the security provider needs to check
"com.foo.security.AppPerm1."

With evolving e-business and discrete sets of permissions getting introduced by many application providers, the number of permissions that need to be handled grows exponentially. This also creates a tight coupling between the application provider and the security provider. This results in the security policy being placed in the application code, making code portability an issue. This tight coupling needs to be avoided to provide seamless support to varied applications.

5 providers, the number of permissions that need to be handled grows exponentially. This also creates a tight coupling between the application provider and the security provider. This results in the security policy being placed in the application code, making code 10 portability an issue. This tight coupling needs to be avoided to provide seamless support to varied applications.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method and apparatus for type independent permission based access control. The present invention solves the problems of the prior art by allowing security providers to specify their own permission type and a permission that can handle any application permissions below it in a hierarchy of permissions.

The present invention utilizes object inheritance that is built into the Java programming language to provide a mechanism by which a large group of permissions may be assigned to a codesource without having to explicitly assign each individual permission to the codesource. With the present invention, a base permission class, also referred to as a superclass permission class, is defined from which other (sub) permissions inherit thus creating a hierarchy of permissions. Having defined the permissions in such a hierarchy, a developer may assign a base or superclass permission to an installed codesource and thereby assign all of the inherited permissions of the base permission to the installed codesource. Thus, new applications may be installed and the developer or user need only assign the base permission in order for the new application to work with other previously installed applications.

In this way, security providers need not know all the permission types defined in an application. In addition, security providers can seamlessly integrate with many applications without changing their access control and policy store semantics. Moreover, application providers' security enforcement is not dependent on the security provider defined permissions.

Docket No. AUS920010941US1

The present invention does not require any changes to the Java Security Manager and does not require changes to application code.

These and other features and advantages of the

5 present invention will be described in, or will become apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art in view of, the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments.

10

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The 5 invention itself, however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objectives and advantages thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

10 **Figure 1** is an exemplary diagram illustrating a distributed data processing system according to the present invention;

15 **Figure 2** is an exemplary diagram of a server computing system according to the present invention;

15 **Figure 3** is an exemplary diagram of a client computing system according to the present invention;

Figure 4 is an exemplary diagram illustrating a Java Virtual Machine;

20 **Figure 5** is a diagram illustrating a permission hierarchy according to the present invention;

Figure 6 is a diagram illustrating an operation of the present invention when an untrusted resource access request is processed;

25 **Figures 7A and 7B** illustrate an exemplary superclass permission according to the present invention;

Figure 7C illustrates an exemplary subclass permission according to the present invention;

30 **Figure 8** is an exemplary illustration of code for performing the operations of the present invention using the base or superclass permission class, IBMPermission and inherited or subclass permission WSPermission;

Figure 9 is a flowchart outlining an exemplary

Docket No. AUS920010941US1

operation of the present invention for adding permissions to a permission collection;

Figure 10 is a flowchart outlining an exemplary operation of the present invention according to an alternative embodiment; and

Figure 11 is an example of code of a policy file according to the alternative embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention provides a mechanism by which permissions in an object oriented environment may be defined and used such that a single base permission, hereafter referred to as a superclass permission, may be assigned to a codesource and all inherited permissions, hereafter referred to as subclass permissions, falling under the base permission are thereby assigned to the codesource. In this way, a developer need not explicitly assign all of the possible permissions to the class but may assign only the base permission and obtain the benefit of any inherited permissions falling under the base permission in the permissions hierarchy.

The preferred embodiments of the present invention will be described with regard to the Java 2 SDK, however the present invention is not limited to use with Java 2 SDK. Rather, the present invention may be used with any object oriented environment in which inheritance and security policies are utilized to protect access to computer system resources. Thus, the references to Java 2 SDK and elements of this programming environment are only intended to be exemplary and are not intended to imply any limitation on the present invention.

Since the present invention operates in a Java security environment, a brief description of the Java computing environment will be provided. As is well known, Java is typically used in a client/server or other distributed data processing environment, although Java may also be used on a single computing device as well. As such, the following description of the Java computing environment will assume a client/server environment although the present invention may also be used by a

single computing device with or without a network connection.

With reference now to the figures, **Figure 1** depicts a pictorial representation of a network of data processing systems in which the present invention may be implemented. Network data processing system **100** is a network of computers in which the present invention may be implemented. Network data processing system **100** contains a network **102**, which is the medium used to provide communications links between various devices and computers connected together within network data processing system **100**. Network **102** may include connections, such as wire, wireless communication links, or fiber optic cables.

In the depicted example, server **104** is connected to network **102** along with storage unit **106**. In addition, clients **108**, **110**, and **112** are connected to network **102**. These clients **108**, **110**, and **112** may be, for example, personal computers or network computers. In the depicted example, server **104** provides data, such as boot files, operating system images, and applications to clients **108-112**. Clients **108**, **110**, and **112** are clients to server **104**. Network data processing system **100** may include additional servers, clients, and other devices not shown. In the depicted example, network data processing system **100** is the Internet with network **102** representing a worldwide collection of networks and gateways that use the TCP/IP suite of protocols to communicate with one another. At the heart of the Internet is a backbone of high-speed data communication lines between major nodes or host computers, consisting of thousands of commercial, government, educational and other computer systems that route data and messages. Of course, network data

processing system **100** also may be implemented as a number of different types of networks, such as for example, an intranet, a local area network (LAN), or a wide area network (WAN). **Figure 1** is intended as an example, and not 5 as an architectural limitation for the present invention.

Referring to **Figure 2**, a block diagram of a data processing system that may be implemented as a server, such as server **104** in **Figure 1**, is depicted in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

- 10 Data processing system **200** may be a symmetric multiprocessor (SMP) system including a plurality of processors **202** and **204** connected to system bus **206**. Alternatively, a single processor system may be employed. Also connected to system bus **206** is memory
- 15 controller/cache **208**, which provides an interface to local memory **209**. I/O bus bridge **210** is connected to system bus **206** and provides an interface to I/O bus **212**. Memory controller/cache **208** and I/O bus bridge **210** may be integrated as depicted.
- 20 Peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus bridge **214** connected to I/O bus **212** provides an interface to PCI local bus **216**. A number of modems may be connected to PCI local bus **216**. Typical PCI bus implementations will support four PCI expansion slots or add-in connectors.
- 25 Communications links to clients **108-112** in **Figure 1** may be provided through modem **218** and network adapter **220** connected to PCI local bus **216** through add-in boards.

Additional PCI bus bridges **222** and **224** provide interfaces for additional PCI local buses **226** and **228**, 30 from which additional modems or network adapters may be supported. In this manner, data processing system **200**

allows connections to multiple network computers. A memory-mapped graphics adapter **230** and hard disk **232** may also be connected to I/O bus **212** as depicted, either directly or indirectly.

5 Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the hardware depicted in **Figure 2** may vary. For example, other peripheral devices, such as optical disk drives and the like, also may be used in addition to or in place of the hardware depicted. The depicted example is
10 not meant to imply architectural limitations with respect to the present invention.

The data processing system depicted in **Figure 2** may be, for example, an IBM e-Server pSeries system, a product of International Business Machines Corporation in
15 Armonk, New York, running the Advanced Interactive Executive (AIX) operating system or LINUX operating system.

With reference now to **Figure 3**, a block diagram illustrating a data processing system is depicted in which the present invention may be implemented. Data processing system **300** is an example of a client computer. Data processing system **300** employs a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) local bus architecture. Although the depicted example employs a PCI bus, other bus
20 architectures such as Accelerated Graphics Port (AGP) and Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) may be used. Processor **302** and main memory **304** are connected to PCI local bus **306** through PCI bridge **308**. PCI bridge **308** also may include an integrated memory controller and cache
25 memory for processor **302**. Additional connections to PCI local bus **306** may be made through direct component interconnection or through add-in boards. In the depicted
30

example, local area network (LAN) adapter **310**, SCSI host bus adapter **312**, and expansion bus interface **314** are connected to PCI local bus **306** by direct component connection. In contrast, audio adapter **316**, graphics adapter **318**, and audio/video adapter **319** are connected to PCI local bus **306** by add-in boards inserted into expansion slots. Expansion bus interface **314** provides a connection for a keyboard and mouse adapter **320**, modem **322**, and additional memory **324**. Small computer system interface (SCSI) host bus adapter **312** provides a connection for hard disk drive **326**, tape drive **328**, and CD-ROM drive **330**. Typical PCI local bus implementations will support three or four PCI expansion slots or add-in connectors.

An operating system runs on processor **302** and is used to coordinate and provide control of various components within data processing system **300** in **Figure 3**. The operating system may be a commercially available operating system, such as Windows 2000, which is available from Microsoft Corporation. An object oriented programming system such as Java may run in conjunction with the operating system and provide calls to the operating system from Java programs or applications executing on data processing system **300**. "Java" is a trademark of Sun Microsystems, Inc. Instructions for the operating system, the object-oriented operating system, and applications or programs are located on storage devices, such as hard disk drive **326**, and may be loaded into main memory **304** for execution by processor **302**.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the hardware in **Figure 3** may vary depending on the implementation. Other internal hardware or peripheral

devices, such as flash ROM (or equivalent nonvolatile memory) or optical disk drives and the like, may be used in addition to or in place of the hardware depicted in

Figure 3. Also, the processes of the present invention

5 may be applied to a multiprocessor data processing system.

As another example, data processing system **300** may be a stand-alone system configured to be bootable without relying on some type of network communication interface,

10 whether or not data processing system **300** comprises some type of network communication interface. As a further example, data processing system **300** may be a personal digital assistant (PDA) device, which is configured with ROM and/or flash ROM in order to provide non-volatile 15 memory for storing operating system files and/or user-generated data.

The depicted example in **Figure 3** and above-described examples are not meant to imply architectural limitations. For example, data processing system **300**

20 also may be a notebook computer or hand held computer in addition to taking the form of a PDA. Data processing system **300** also may be a kiosk or a Web appliance.

Figure 4 shows how a Java applet is handled using a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) that implements the Java 2 SDK

25 security architecture. As shown in **Figure 4**, a web page **410** may include a hyperlink, or the like, to a Java applet **420**. When a user of the web browser **430** selects the hyperlink, or otherwise initiates the download of the applet **420**, the applet code is fetched from the server 30 **415** associated with the applet **420**. The fetched code is verified by a byte-code verifier **440** and the applet is instantiated as a class or set of classes **450** in a

namespace **460** by a class loader **445**.

At the time the classes **450** of the applet are instantiated, the JVM **470** also builds a protection domain for the applet **420**. The protection domain is a data structure in which a set of permission collections are present. A permission collection is a grouping of permissions defined by a developer. Every time a developer defines a new permission, the developer must also define a permission collection to which the new permission belongs or assume the default implementation. These permission collections may be assigned to classes **450** of the applet **420**. Thus, when the classes are instantiated, the JVM looks at the permission collections assigned to the classes and generates a protection domain based on the assigned permission collections.

The bytecode is then executed by the JVM **470**. The bytecode is executed as threads of execution. A thread is a stream of execution. Threads allow multiple streams of execution to occur virtually simultaneously in a data processing system thereby allowing multitasking. An executing thread has a thread stack. The thread stack is a mechanism for tracking which method calls which other method in order to be able to return to the appropriate program location when an invoked method has finished its work.

During execution, the bytecode may make calls to potentially dangerous, or untrusted, functionality. When such a call is made by a thread of execution, the SecurityManager **480** calls a **SecurityManager.checkPermission()** method which in turn calls an **AccessController.checkPermission()** method of the Access Controller **485**.

The SecurityManager **480** is the part of a JVM that enforces the security policy of the computing system on which the JVM is resident. When an untrusted operation is to be performed by an application, the SecurityManager **480** is responsible for checking whether the application has the appropriate permission for performing the operation.

A permission represents access to a resource. In order for a resource access to be allowed, the corresponding permission must be explicitly granted to the code attempting the access. A permission typically has a name and, in some cases, a comma-separated list of one or more actions. For example, the following code creates a FilePermission object representing read access to the file named abc in the /tmp directory:

```
perm = new java.io.FilePermission("/tmp/abc","read");
```

In this permission, the target name is "/tmp/abc" and the action string is "read".

It is important to note that the above statement creates a permission object that represents, but does not grant access to, a system resource. Permission objects are constructed and assigned, or granted, to code based on the policy in effect. When a permission object is assigned to some code, that code is granted the permission to access the system resource specified in the current security manager when making access decisions. In this case, the (target) permission object is created based on the requested access, and checked against the permission objects granted to and held by the code making the request.

The security policy for an application environment is represented by a Policy object. In the default implementation, PolicyFile, the policy can be specified within one or more policy configuration files. The 5 policy file(s) specify what permissions are allowed for code from specified code sources. A sample policy file entry granting code from the /home/sysadmin directory read access to the file /tmp/abc is:

10 grant codeBase "file:/home/sysadmin/" {
 Permission java.io.FilePermission "/tmp/abc",
 "read";
};

In the Java Development Kit 1.1, it was the 15 responsibility of the SecurityManager to directly call a check() method on untrusted resource access requests in order to determine if the resource access request should be granted. In Java 2 SDK, it is the AccessController 485 that calls the AccessController.checkPermission()
20 method on permission objects.

When the AccessController.checkPermission() method is called by the AccessController 485 on a permission object, the AccessController 485 retrieves the AccessControlContext for a thread of execution that 25 resulted in the call of the AccessController.checkPermission() method. The AccessControlContext is a combination of an array of protection domains for the classes in the thread stack, and a CodeSource. The CodeSource is a combination of an 30 origination location of a resource access request and a set of zero or more digital signatures.

Having retrieved the AccessControlContext, the

Access Controller **485** calls an `AccessControlContext.checkPermission()` method on the `AccessControlContext`. The

`AccessControlContext.checkPermission()` method calls an

- 5 `AccessControlContext.implies()` method which in turn calls `implies()` on each protection domain identified in the `AccessControlContext` to determine if the particular permission being checked is present in each of the `ProtectionDomain` objects. This causes an `implies()`
- 10 method to be called on the `java.security.Permissions` object in each of the `ProtectionDomains`. This, in turn, causes an `implies()` method to be called on each permission in each `PermissionCollection` specific to that type. In this way, each permission in each relevant
- 15 `PermissionCollection` of each `ProtectionDomain` identified in the `AccessControlContext` is checked to see if it corresponds to the permission being checked.

If the results of this check indicate that any one of the protection domains does not include the requisite permission, i.e. the permission being checked, then the requested resource access is denied. Thus, all protection domains identified by the `AccessControlContext` must include the permission being checked in order for the access request to be granted. This enforces the requirement that each `ProtectionDomain` include at least one permission collection that includes the permission being checked.

Thus, in known systems, a developer of an application must possess a sufficient amount of knowledge about the security policy and permissions of a particular computing system in order to make sure that the new application may work with the existing system and system resources. The application developer must know each new

permission and identify a PermissionCollection to which the new permission belongs. The present invention provides a mechanism by which such detailed knowledge of the security policy and permissions is not required and 5 applications may be installed with relative ease.

With the present invention, permissions are defined in a hierarchical manner such that there are superclass permissions and subclass permission of the superclass permission. The result is a tree-like structure of 10 permissions that may be assigned to classes of installed applications.

Figure 5 is an exemplary diagram of a permissions hierarchy according to the present invention. As shown in **Figure 5**, a superclass permission is defined as 15 IBMPermission. This superclass permission has a plurality of subclass permissions including CorePermission and WSPermission. In turn, these subclass permissions may have further subclass permissions including Permission1, Permission2 and Permission3. The 20 subclass permission WSPermission is a superclass permission to Permission1, Permission2 and Permission3.

With the present invention, when a class is assigned a superclass permission, all subclass permissions of the superclass permission are also allocated to the installed 25 class. For example, if the superclass permission WSPermission is assigned, or granted, to an installed class, the subclass permissions Permission1, Permission2 and Permission3 are also allocated to the installed class. In this way, the developer need only know about 30 the superclass permission and grant the superclass permission to the installed class in order to obtain the benefit of all of the subclass permissions.

This is especially beneficial for applications that are part of the same overall product. If a developer adds an application to an existing product, for example, the developer need only assign a superclass permission of 5 an existing permission hierarchy of the product to the new application. In this way, the application developer need not know the detailed layout of the existing permissions and yet obtain the benefit of existing permissions by inheritancy through the permissions 10 hierarchy.

The present invention provides the ability to allocate all subclass permissions of a superclass permission to an installed class of an application by placing these permissions into the PermissionCollection 15 of the superclass permission. This may be done in a number of different ways, two of which will be described in detail hereafter. These two mechanisms for placing subclass permissions into the PermissionCollection of the superclass permission are preferred embodiments, however, 20 the invention is not limited to such. Rather, any mechanism for allocating subclass permissions of a superclass permission that is granted to an installed class may be used without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention.

25 **Figure 6** illustrates a first mechanism for performing the operations of the present invention. As shown in **Figure 6**, when an untrusted resource access request is received from the bytecode **610**, the JVM **620** invokes the SecurityManager **630** which determines the 30 required permission based on the CodeSource and the resource. The SecurityManager **630** then calls a SecurityManager.checkPermission() method on the

identified permission. This call results in a call of the AccessController.checkPermission() method which causes the AccessController **640** to retrieve the AccessControlContext for the execution thread and call 5 the AccessControlContext.checkPermission() method on the AccessControlContext.

When the Access Controller calls the AccessControlContext.checkPermission() method, an implies method is called on each permission **650** in each relevant 10 PermissionCollection of each ProtectionDomain in the AccessControlContext **660**.

With this particular embodiment of the present invention, however, each permission is provided with a method called newPermissionCollection. The results of 15 the calling of the implies method on the permission are input to the newPermissionCollection method. The newPermissionCollection method determines, based on these results, the superclass permission of the permission being checked and whether the superclass permission is 20 present in each of the protection domains in the AccessControlContext stack.

If so, the permission being checked is added to the new PermissionCollection. The new PermissionCollection is then added to the AccessControlContext **660**, if the 25 permission check passes then control is given back to the SecurityManager, otherwise an exception is thrown. If, however, the superclass permission has not been granted, the resource access request is denied and the JVM **620** informs the bytecode **610** of the denial of access to the 30 system resource and a SecurityException is thrown.

In an alternative embodiment, rather than checking the superclass permission to see if it has been granted

and then adding only the permission being checked to the newPermissionCollection, the present invention may operate to add all subclass permissions of the checked permission to the new PermissionCollection. That is, the 5 newPermissionCollection method may determine whether the superclass permission is present in each of the protection domains and if so, add the checked permission and any subclass permissions of the checked permission, as determined from the security policy, to the new 10 PermissionCollection.

Figures 7A and **7B** are exemplary diagrams of a superclass permission in accordance with the present invention. **Figure 7C** is an exemplary diagram of a subclass permission according to the present invention. 15 As shown in **Figures 7A-7C**, these permissions both include calls of the newPermissionCollection method which is used by the present invention to generate and return a new PermissionCollection that includes the permission being checked for and any subclass permissions of the 20 permission being checked for. In addition, the subclass permission shown in **Figure 7C** includes identification that the subclass permission WSPermission is a subclass of the superclass IBMPermission shown in **Figures 7A** and **7B**.

25 **Figure 8** is an exemplary illustration of code for performing the operations of the present invention using the superclass permission IBMPermission and subclass permission WSPermission shown in **Figures 7A** and **7B**. The sequence of events for checking a particular permission, 30 as shown in **Figure 8**, is as follows. First, the security manager calls a SecurityManager.checkPermission method on the permission. The call of the

SecurityManager.checkPermission method causes an AccessController.checkPermission method to be called on the permission.

The current AccessControlContext is then retrieved
5 and an AccessControlContext.checkPermission method is called on the permission. The AccessControlContext.checkPermission method calls an AccessControlContext.implies method on the AccessControlContext. The AccessControlContext.implies
10 method calls a ProtectionDomain.implies method on the ProtectionDomain object.

The AccessControlContext.implies method calls a PermissionCollection.implies method on the PermissionCollection objects that are encapsulated in the
15 ProtectionDomain. The PermissionCollection objects are obtained from the current security policy. It is then determined whether the PermissionCollection associated with the permission is present in the ProtectionDomain. If so, the PermissionCollection is returned. Otherwise,
20 a new PermissionCollection is constructed by a call to the newPermissionCollection method. As shown in
Figure 8, when some code is granted IBMPermission, the PolicyFile instantiates a ProtectionDomain (referred to as "pd") that contains an IBMPermission object as part of
25 an IBMPermissionCollection (which is in the Permissions object referred to as "perms"). When a call to Security Manager.checkPermission method is made, a permissions object implies method is called. At this point, the permission objects looks into an internal hashtable to
30 see what PermissionCollection must be associated with the permission so that it can also see if that PermissionCollection.implies the permission or not. However, the permissions object does not know which

PermissionCollection must be associated with the permission (it does know which PermissionCollection must be associated with an IBMPermission since an IBMPermission was specified in the security policy file,

5 but it does not know about the permission yet).

Therefore, it calls the newPermissionCollection method, which returns an IBMPermissionCollection object. This IBMPermissionCollection object is, empty and will not imply the WSPermission. Thus, a security exception will

10 be thrown.

Figure 9 is a flowchart outlining an exemplary operation of the present invention. As shown in **Figure 9**, the operation starts with an untrusted resource access request being received (step **910**). The required

15 permission for the access request is determined based on the CodeSource and the resource (step **920**). The SecurityManager.checkPermission method is called on the required permission (step **930**). This causes an AccessController.checkPermission call which in turn calls

20 an AccessControlContext.checkPermission call on the AccessControlContext for the thread of execution originating the resource access request (step **940**).

The AccessControlContext.checkPermission call results in an implies method being called on each 25 permission of each PermissionCollection in the ProtectionDomains of the AccessControlContext (step **950**). The newPermissionCollection method of each permission is then called (step **960**).

The newPermissionCollection method makes a 30 determination as to whether a superclass permission of the required permission is present in each of the ProtectionDomains of the AccessControlContext (step **970**).

If not, the resource access request is denied, an exception is thrown (step **975**) and no further processing occurs ends.

If the superclass permission is present in each of
5 the ProtectionDomains, the required permission is added
to the new PermissionCollection (step **980**). In an
alternative method, any subclass permissions of the
required permission may also be added to the
PermissionCollection (step **985**, shown in dotted lines to
10 indicate an alternative embodiment). The
PermissionCollection is then added to the
AccessControlContext (step **990**) and the resource access
request is granted (step **995**).

In an alternative embodiment, the functionality
15 described above may be hardcoded into the security policy
file class such that modification of permissions to
include the newPermissionCollection method is not
necessary. Rather, the functions of the
newPermissionCollection method may be hardcoded into the
20 security policy file class such that the code operates on
all permissions processed using this security policy
class.

In this alternative embodiment, a subclass Policy of
the superclass PolicyFile is created such that its
25 getPermissions() method takes the Permissions object
returned by the getPermissions() method of the superclass
PolicyFile and, before returning it, determines if the
Permissions object implies the superclass permission of
the permission being checked, i.e. the superclass
30 permission is present in each of the protection domains.

If the Permissions object implies the superclass
permission, then the permission being checked is added to

the permission collection of the Permissions object and the resource access request is granted. If the Permissions object does not imply the superclass permission, the permission being checked is not added to 5 the PermissionCollection of the Permissions object and the resource access request is denied with an exception thrown.

10 **Figure 10** is a flowchart outlining an exemplary operation of the present invention according to this alternative embodiment. As shown in **Figure 10**, the operation starts with an untrusted resource access request being received (step **1010**). The required permission for the access request is determined based on the CodeSource and the resource (step **1020**). A 15 determination is made as to whether the required permission implies the superclass permission of the required permission (step **1030**).

20 If the permissions object implies the superclass permission, then the permission being checked is added to the permission collection of the Permissions object (step **1040**) and the resource access request is granted (step **1050**). If the Permissions object does not imply the 25 superclass permission, the permission being checked is not added (step **1060**) to the PermissionCollection of the Permissions object and the resource access request is denied with an exception thrown (step **1070**).

30 **Figure 11** provides code for performing the operations according to this alternative embodiment. It should be noted that, for simplicity, the name of the IBMPermission subclass, WSPermission, is hardcoded in this PolicyFile subclass. In a more sophisticated implementation, the names of the subclasses may be

hardcoded in a signed configuration file, and the PolicyFile subclass may check the signature before accepting to read that file and retrieve the names of the subclasses from it.

5 Thus, the present invention provides mechanisms by which a developer may grant a superclass permission to an installed class and obtain the benefit of subclasses without having to know the details of the security policy. This allows development and integration of
10 applications into existing systems to be done seamlessly and with greater ease.

It is important to note that while the present invention has been described in the context of a fully functioning data processing system, those of ordinary
15 skill in the art will appreciate that the processes of the present invention are capable of being distributed in the form of a computer readable medium of instructions and a variety of forms and that the present invention applies equally regardless of the particular type of
20 signal bearing media actually used to carry out the distribution. Examples of computer readable media include recordable-type media, such as a floppy disk, a hard disk drive, a RAM, CD-ROMs, DVD-ROMs, and transmission-type media, such as digital and analog
25 communications links, wired or wireless communications links using transmission forms, such as, for example, radio frequency and light wave transmissions. The computer readable media may take the form of coded formats that are decoded for actual use in a particular
30 data processing system.

The description of the present invention has been presented for purposes of illustration and description, and is not intended to be exhaustive or limited to the

invention in the form disclosed. Many modifications and variations will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. The embodiment was chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention,

5 the practical application, and to enable others of ordinary skill in the art to understand the invention for various embodiments with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated.